arXiv:0803.1635v1 [math.KT] 11 Mar 2008

Poisson (co)homology of polynomial Poisson algebras in dimension four : Sklyanin's case

Serge Roméo Tagne Pelap

March 11, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, we compute the Poisson (co)homology of a polynomial Poisson structure given by two Casimir polynomial functions which define a complete intersection with an isolated singularity.

Keywords : Poisson structures, Poisson (co)homology, unimodular Poisson structure, Casimir functions, complete intersection with an isolated singularity.

Introduction

The canonical or Poisson homology was introduced independently by Brylinsky ([4]) (as an important tool in computations of Hochschild and cyclic homology), and by Koszul and Gelfand-Dorfman (inspired by their algebraic approach to the study of bi-hamiltonian structures).

This homology is defined as the homology of a differential complex degree -1 $\{\Omega^{\bullet}(M), \partial_{\pi}\}$ on a Poisson manifold (M, π), where π is a Poisson structure given either by a 2-tensor field $\pi \in H^0(M, \wedge^2 TM)$ or, by the corresponding Poisson bracket on algebra of functions (smooth, algebraic,...) of M : $\{f, g\} = < df \wedge dg, \pi >$. The $(-1)-$differential ∂_{π} is given on the decomposable differential forms as

$$\partial_k(f_0 df_1 \wedge ... \wedge df_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^{i+1} \{f_0, f_i\} df_1 \wedge ... \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge ... \wedge df_k$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} f_0 d\{f_i, f_j\} \wedge df_1 \wedge ... \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge ... \widehat{df_j} \wedge ... \wedge df_k$$

and acts from $\Omega^k(M)$ to $\Omega^{k-1}(M)$.

There is a dual notion of Poisson cohomology introduced by Lichnerowicz ([15]). But this duality is quite subtle : if the Poisson homology is non-degenerate (symplectic) then the Poisson homology and Poisson cohomology admit a Poincaré-duality ismorphism ([4]) which is extended to a class of so-called unimodular Poisson manifolds (those whose Weinstein modular cohomology class is trivial ([32])).

In general, the Poisson homology has very bad functorial properties (this was observed in many papers ([11])) which make its computation a challenging and difficult problem. The Poisson (co)homology depends heavily on the properties of the initial Poisson structure and, basically, on the structure of its degeneration locus. "Simple" Poisson structures (like symplectic ones or the slightly more difficult case of regular structures which have a constant rank symplectic foliation) have well-studied Poisson (co)homology.

An interesting and difficult problem is to compute the corresponding (co)homology groups in the case of "quadratic" Poisson structures.

The interest in these structures is two-fold : they have naturally appeared in Drinfel's approach to a quasi-classical limit of "quantum groups" under the name of Hamilton-Lie groups ([5]), later renamed Poisson Lie groups. On the other hand, they appeared in the heart of the Integrable system theory under the name of Sklyanin algebras ([23], [24]). It should be noted that almost at the same time, a simpler case of a Sklyanin algebra structure was studied in a purely algebraic context by M. Artin and J. Tate. In our paper we will concentrate on the Poisson (co)homology of Sklyanin algebras with 4 generators (which is the original case introduced by Sklyanin [23]).

Generally speaking, Sklyanin algebras belong to a class of "Poisson Structures with regular symplectic leaves". This class was introduced and studied in [20] as the next interesting generalization of "regular" Poisson structures. We mean a class of algebras which are a quasi-classical limit of associative algebras with quadratic relations which are flat deformations of polynomial functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It was proven in [20] that these Poisson algebras have polynomial Casimirs (functions which commute with any function on the manifold) and the "dimension" of this algebra is equal to the sum of the degrees of the Casimir generators.

Let us describe our basic Poisson algebra in detail.

Let

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_3^2) + kx_2x_4,$$

$$q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_4^2) + kx_1x_3,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

We will introduce a Poisson structure π on $\mathbb{C}^4$ or, more generally, on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ (in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, in the formal series ring $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]$,...) by the formula :

$$\{f, g\}_\pi := \frac{df \wedge dg \wedge dq_1 \wedge dq_2}{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4}.$$

Then the brackets between the coordinate functions are defined by (mod 4) :

$$\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} = k^2 x_i x_{i+1} - x_{i+2}x_{i+3};$$

$$\{x_i, x_{i+2}\} = k(x_{i+3}^2 - x_{i+1}^2),$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

This algebra will be denoted by $q_4(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ represents an elliptic curve, which parameterizes the algebra (via k). We can also think of this curve $\mathcal{E}$ as a geometric interpretation of the couple $q_1 = 0$, $q_2 = 0$ embedded in $\mathcal{C}P^3$ (as was observed in Sklyanin's initial paper). We will follow Sklyanin's version of this embedding, considering the quadrics Q_1, Q_2 in $\mathbb{C}^4$ and the complete intersection of these quadrics :

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \tag{1}$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_4^2 + J_1x_1^2 + J_2x_2^2 + J_3x_3^2) \tag{2}$$

The algebra $q_4(\mathcal{E})$ is given by the formulas :

$$\{x_1, x_i\} = (-1)^i det(\frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_l}),\ l \neq 1, i;\ k = 1, 2;$$

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = (-1)^{i+j} det(\frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_l}),\ l \neq i, j;\ k = 1, 2.$$

More generally, considering $n-2$ polynomials Q_i in K^n with coordinates x_i, $i = 1, ..., n$, where K is a field of characteristic zero, we can define, for any polynomial $\lambda \in K[x_1, ..., x_n]$, a bilinear differential operation :

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\} : K[x_1, ..., x_n] \otimes K[x_1, ..., x_n] \longrightarrow K[x_1, ..., x_n]$$

by the formula

$$\{f, g\} = \lambda \frac{df \wedge dg \wedge dQ_1 \wedge ... \wedge dQ_{n-2}}{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge ... \wedge dx_n}, \quad f, g \in K[x_1, ..., x_n] \tag{3}$$

This operation gives a Poisson algebra structure on $K[x_1, ..., x_n]$. The polynomials $Q_i, i = 1, ..., n-2$ are Casimir functions for the brackets (3) and any Poisson structure on K^n, with $n-2$ generic Casimirs Q_i, is written in this form. Every Poisson structure of this form is called a Jacobian Poisson structure (JPS) ([14], [12]).
The case $n = 4$ in (3) corresponds to the classical generalized Sklyanin quadratic Poisson algebra. In [21], Anne Pichereau gives the Poisson (co)homology of a Poisson structure given on K^n by the formula (3) when $n = 3$ and where the Casimir Q_1 is a weight homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity in zero.
The goal of this paper is to find the (co)homology of a Poisson structure given on K^n by the formula (3) when $n = 4$ and where the Casimirs Q_1 and Q_2 are weight homogeneous with an isolated singularity in zero. We use a method similar to that of Pichereau in [21], Van den Bergh in [28], or Marconnet in [17].
The results of the paper will be used in our subsequent research of cohomological properties of "quantum" counterparts of the algebra $q_4(\mathcal{E})$ known also as Feigin-Odesskii-Sklyanin Elliptic algebras $Q_4(\mathcal{E})$. We will apply the Brylinsky spectral sequence arguments to compute their Hochshild homology ([27]).
The paper is organized as follows. We start by introducing some basic notions of Poisson (co)homology, the De Rham complex and we introduce some applications and operators that we use to have a simple description of Kähler differentials and of Poisson homology complexes. The next part is devoted to homological tools we are going to use to compute the Poisson homology. The last part of the paper is devoted to the computation of the Poisson (co)homology of polynomial Poisson structures when the space of Casimir functions is generated by two polynomials which form complete intersection with an isolated singularity. We apply our method to compute the Poisson (co)-homology of the Sklyanin algebra.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Jean-Claude Thomas, Yves Felix and Michel Granger for useful comments and discussions. This work is a first part of my thesis prepared at the University of Angers. I would like to take this opportunity to thank my advisors, Vladimir Roubtsov and Bitjong Ndombol, for suggesting to me this interesting problem and for their availability during this projet. This work was partially supported by the Programme SARIMA.

1 Poisson (co)homology complex

Consider $(\mathcal{A}, \pi = \{\ \})$ a Poisson algebra. This means an antisymmetric biderivation $\{\cdot,\cdot\} : \mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot,\cdot\})$ is a Lie algebra.

1.1 The de Rham complex and Poisson homology complex

We recall that the $\mathcal{A}$-module of Kähler differentials of $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ and the graded $\mathcal{A}$-module $\Omega^p(\mathcal{A}) := \bigwedge^p \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is the module of all Kähler p-differential. As a vector space, respectively, as an $\mathcal{A}$-module, $\Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by elements of the form $FdF_1 \wedge ... \wedge dF_p$, respectively of the form, $dF_1 \wedge ... \wedge dF_p$, where $F, F_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, ..., p$. We denote by $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) = \oplus_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$, with the convention that $\Omega^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$, the space of all Kähler differentials.

The differential $d : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ extends to a graded K-linear map

$$d : \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathcal{A})$$

by setting :

$$d(GdF_1 \wedge ... \wedge dF_p) := dG \wedge dF_1 \wedge ... \wedge dF_p$$

for $G, F_1, ..., F_p \in \mathcal{A}$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$. It is called the de Rham differential. It is a graded derivation, of degree 1, of $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}), \wedge)$, such that $d^2 = 0$. The resulting complex is called the de Rham complex and its cohomology is the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$.

The Poisson boundary operator, also called the Brylinsky or Koszul differential and denoted by $\partial : \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(\mathcal{A})$, is given by :

$$\partial_k(F_0 dF_1 \wedge ... \wedge dF_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^{i+1}\{F_0, F_i\} dF_1 \wedge ... \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge ... \wedge dF_k$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} F_0 d\{F_i, F_j\} \wedge dF_1 \wedge ... \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge ... \widehat{dF_j} \wedge ... \wedge dF_k$$

where $F_0, ..., F_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

One can check, by a direct computation, that ∂_k is well-defined and that it is a boundary operator, $\partial_k \circ \partial_{k+1} = 0$.

The homology of this complex is called the Poisson homology associated to $(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ and is denoted by $H_\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$.

1.2 The Poisson cohomology complex

Definition 1.1. *A skew-symmetric k-linear map $P \in Hom_K(\wedge^k \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ is called a skew-symmetric k-derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$ if it is a derivation in each of its arguments.*

The $\mathcal{A}$-module of skew-symmetric k-derivation is denoted by $\mathcal{X}^k(\mathcal{A})$. We define the graded $\mathcal{A}$-module

$$\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{X}^k(\mathcal{A})$$

whose elements are called skew-symmetric multi-derivations. By convention, the first term in this sum, $\mathcal{X}^0$, is $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{X}^k(\mathcal{A}) := \{0\}$ for $k < 0$.

The Poisson coboundary operator associated with $(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ and denoted by $\delta : \mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\bullet+1}(\mathcal{A})$, is given by :

$$\delta^k(Q)(F_0, F_1, ..., F_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^i \{F_i, Q(F_0, F_1, ..., \widehat{F_i}, ..., F_k)\}$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} Q(\{F_i, F_j\}, F_0, ..., \widehat{F_i}, ..., \widehat{F_j}, ..., F_k)$$

where $F_0, ..., F_k \in \mathcal{A}$, and $Q \in \mathcal{X}^k(\mathcal{A})$.

One can check, by a direct computation, that δ^k is well-defined and that it is a coboundary operator, $\delta^{k+1} \circ \delta^k = 0$.

The cohomology of this complex is called the Poisson cohomology associated with $(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ and denoted by $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$.

1.3 Unimodular Poisson structure

In this part, we consider the affine space of dimension n K^n and its algebra of regular functions $\mathcal{A} = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$.
We denote by $S_{p,q}$ the set of all $(p,q)-$shuffles, that is permutations σ of the set $\{1, ..., p+q\}$ such that $\sigma(1) < ... < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < ... < \sigma(p+q), p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.
The family of maps $\star : \mathcal{X}^k(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{n-k}(\mathcal{A})$, defined by :

$$\star Q = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k,n-k}} \epsilon(\sigma) Q(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(k)}) dx_{\sigma(k+1)} \wedge ... \wedge dx_{\sigma(n)}$$

are isomorphisms.
Assume a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{A}$ is given. It is natural to ask wether we have the same duality between the Poisson cohomology and the Poisson homology. Generally, the answer to this question is negative. Besides, it is easy to see that the answer depends on the Poisson structure we have. For example such a duality does exist for the wide and important class of unimodular Poisson structure ([32]) which Jacobian Poisson structures belong ([12]).
If we denote by $D_\bullet$ the map : $D_\bullet := \star^{-1} \circ d \circ \star : \mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\bullet - 1}(\mathcal{A})$, where d is the de Rham differential, we say that a Poisson bracket π on $\mathcal{A}$ is unimodular if $D_2(\pi) = 0$.
Our purpose is to find the homology and the cohomology of the Jacobian structures on $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. These structures being unimodular, our objective in the sequel will be to determine the Poisson homology of such Poisson structures.

1.4 Vector notations

Now, we are going to present some vector notations which we shall use afterwards. Let us consider the following applications and differential operators :

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\times : & \mathcal{A}^4 \times \mathcal{A}^4 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^6 \\
& \left(\overrightarrow{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix} , \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Y_4 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{X} \times \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1Y_4 - X_4Y_1 \\ X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1 \\ X_3Y_2 - X_2Y_3 \\ X_3Y_4 - X_4Y_3 \\ X_3Y_1 - X_1Y_3 \\ X_2Y_4 - X_4Y_2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\bar{\times} : & \mathcal{A}^4 \times \mathcal{A}^6 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^4 \\
& \left(\overrightarrow{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix} , \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Y_6 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{X} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_4Y_3 + X_2Y_4 - X_3Y_6 \\ X_3Y_1 - X_1Y_4 + X_4Y_5 \\ -X_2Y_1 + X_4Y_2 + X_1Y_6 \\ -X_3Y_2 + X_1Y_3 - X_2Y_5 \end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$$

We denote by " $\cdot$ " the scalar product in $\mathcal{A}^4$ or in $\mathcal{A}^6$.

$f : \mathcal{A}^6 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^6$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-linear morphism given by the matrix :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overrightarrow{\nabla} : & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^4 \\ & F & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} F = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{x_1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \frac{\partial F}{x_4} \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times : & \mathcal{A}^4 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^6 \\ & \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Y_4 \end{pmatrix} & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Y_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial x_4} \\ \frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial Y_3}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial Y_4}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial Y_3}{\partial x_4} \\ \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial Y_3}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial Y_4}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial x_4} \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} : & \mathcal{A}^6 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^4 \\ & \overrightarrow{G} = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ G_6 \end{pmatrix} & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial G_3}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial G_4}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial G_6}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial G_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial G_5}{\partial x_4} \\ -\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial G_6}{\partial x_1} \\ -\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial G_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial G_5}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathrm{Div}(\cdot) : & \mathcal{A}^4 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \\ & \overrightarrow{K} = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ K_4 \end{pmatrix} & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Div}(\overrightarrow{K}) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial K_i}{\partial x_i} \end{array}$$

By direct computation, we obtain the following properties :

Proposition 1.1. *The previous operators satisfy the following properties :*

1. $f^2 = Id_{\mathcal{A}^6}$;
2. $f(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot f(\vec{y}), \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{A}^6$;
3. $f(\vec{x}) \cdot f(\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}, \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{A}^6$;
4. $\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{y} \times \vec{z}) = \vec{y} \cdot (\vec{z} \bar{\times} f(\vec{x})), \ \ \vec{x} \in \mathcal{A}^6,\ \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathcal{A}^4$;
5. $\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{y} \bar{\times} \vec{z}) = -\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{x} \bar{\times} \vec{z}), \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{A}^4,\ \vec{z} \in \mathcal{A}^6$;
6. $\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{x} \bar{\times} \vec{z}) = 0,\ \vec{x} \in \mathcal{A}^4, \quad \vec{z} \in \mathcal{A}^6$;
7. $\vec{x} \bar{\times} (\vec{x} \times \vec{y}) = 0, \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{A}^4$
8. $(\vec{x} \times \vec{z}) \cdot f(\vec{x} \times \vec{y}) = 0, \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathcal{A}^4$;
9. $\vec{x} \bar{\times} (\vec{y} \times \vec{z}) = \vec{y} \bar{\times} (\vec{z} \times \vec{x}), \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathcal{A}^4$;

10. $(\vec{x}\bar{\times}f(\vec{y}\times\vec{z}))\bar{\times}(\vec{y}\times\vec{z})=0,\ \ \vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

11. $\vec{z}\bar{\times}f(\vec{x}\times\vec{y})=-(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{x})\vec{y}+(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{y})\vec{x},\quad \vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

12. $(\vec{z}\bar{\times}f(\vec{x}\times\vec{y}))\times\vec{t}=-(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{x})\vec{y}\times\vec{t}+(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{y})\vec{x}\times\vec{t},\quad \vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z},\vec{t}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

13. $(\vec{x}\bar{\times}f(\vec{y}\times\vec{z}))\times\vec{z}=(\vec{x}\cdot\vec{z})\vec{y}\times\vec{z},\ \ \vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

14. $(\vec{x}\bar{\times}\vec{z})\bar{\times}f(\vec{x}\times\vec{y})=-(\vec{z}\cdot f(\vec{x}\times\vec{y}))\vec{x},\ \ \vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z}\in\mathcal{A}^4,\vec{z}\in\mathcal{A}^6;$

15. $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}(\vec{x}\times\vec{y})=\vec{y}\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\vec{x})-\vec{x}\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\vec{y}),\ \ \vec{x},\vec{y}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

16. $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times F\vec{x}=F\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\vec{x}+\overrightarrow{\nabla}F\times\vec{x},\ \ F\in\mathcal{A},\ \vec{x}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

17. $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}F\vec{y}=F\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\vec{y}+\overrightarrow{\nabla}F\bar{\times}\vec{y},\quad F\in\mathcal{A},\ \vec{y}\in\mathcal{A}^6;$

18. $Div(F\vec{x})=\overrightarrow{\nabla}F\cdot\vec{x}+FDiv(\vec{x}),\ \ F\in\mathcal{A},\ \vec{x}\in\mathcal{A}^4;$

19. $Div(\vec{x}\bar{\times}\vec{y})=\vec{y}\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\vec{x})-\vec{x}\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\vec{y}),\ \vec{x}\in\mathcal{A}^4,\ \ \vec{y}\in\mathcal{A}^6.$

Proof. Let us give a proof for formula (19) for example.
Consider $\vec{x}=(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)^t\in\mathcal{A}^4$ and $\vec{y}=(Y_1,...,Y_6)^t\in\mathcal{A}^6$.
We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
Div(\vec{x}\bar{\times}\vec{y}) &= \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(-X_4Y_3+X_2Y_4-X_3Y_6)+\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(X_3Y_1-X_1Y_4+X_4Y_5)\\
&\quad +\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_3}(-X_2Y_1+X_4Y_2+X_1Y_6)+\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_4}(-X_3Y_2+X_1Y_3-X_2Y_5)\\
&= Y_1(\tfrac{\partial X_3}{\partial x_2}-\tfrac{\partial X_2}{\partial x_3})+Y_2(\tfrac{\partial X_4}{\partial x_3}-\tfrac{\partial X_3}{\partial x_4})+Y_3(\tfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_4}-\tfrac{\partial X_4}{\partial x_1})\\
&\quad +Y_4(\tfrac{\partial X_2}{\partial x_1}-\tfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_2})+Y_5(\tfrac{\partial X_4}{\partial x_2}-\tfrac{\partial X_2}{\partial x_4})+Y_6(\tfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_3}-\tfrac{\partial X_3}{\partial x_1})\\
&\quad -X_1(\tfrac{\partial Y_4}{\partial x_2}-\tfrac{\partial Y_6}{\partial x_3}-\tfrac{\partial Y_3}{\partial x_4})-X_2(-\tfrac{\partial Y_4}{\partial x_1}+\tfrac{\partial Y_1}{\partial x_3}+\tfrac{\partial Y_5}{\partial x_4})\\
&\quad -X_3(\tfrac{\partial Y_6}{\partial x_1}-\tfrac{\partial Y_1}{\partial x_2}+\tfrac{\partial Y_2}{\partial x_4})-X_4(\tfrac{\partial Y_3}{\partial x_1}-\tfrac{\partial Y_5}{\partial x_2}-\tfrac{\partial Y_2}{\partial x_3})\\
&= \vec{y}\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\vec{x})-\vec{x}\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\vec{y})
\end{aligned}$$

□

According to the definition of Kähler differentials, we have the following isomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$-modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}^4\\
F_1dx_1+F_2dx_2+F_3dx_3+F_4dx_4 & \longmapsto & (F_1,...,F_4)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}^6\\
F_1dx_1\wedge dx_4+F_2dx_1\wedge dx_2+F_3dx_3\wedge dx_2 & \longmapsto & (F_1,F_2,...,F_6)\\
+F_4dx_3\wedge dx_4+F_5dx_3\wedge dx_1+F_6dx_2\wedge dx_4 & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^3(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}^4\\
K_1dx_2\wedge dx_3\wedge dx_4+K_2dx_3\wedge dx_1\wedge dx_4 & \longmapsto & (K_1,...,K_4)\\
+K_3dx_1\wedge dx_2\wedge dx_4+K_4dx_2\wedge dx_1\wedge dx_3 & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^4(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}\\
Udx_1\wedge dx_2\wedge dx_3\wedge dx_4 & \longmapsto & U
\end{array}$$

According to the previous isomorphisms, we can write the de Rham complex in terms of elements of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^4$ and $\mathcal{A}^6$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
K & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A}^4 & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A}^6 & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A}^4 & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{d} & 0 \\
 & & F & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} F & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & \overrightarrow{F} & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{F} & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & \overrightarrow{G} & \longmapsto & \overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & \overrightarrow{K} & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Div}(\overrightarrow{K}) & &
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

Proposition 1.2. (Poincaré's lemma) *The de Rham complex (4) of the polynomial algebra* $\mathcal{A} = K[x_1, .., x_4]$ *is an exact one.*

Proposition 1.3. *According to the previous isomorphisms, Poisson boundary operators associated with the Poisson algebra* $(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ *given by two generic Casimir functions* P_1 *and* P_2*, can be written in a compact form :*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\partial_4} \mathcal{A}^4 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathcal{A}^6 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathcal{A}^4 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathcal{A} \tag{5}$$

where :

$$\partial_1(\overrightarrow{H}) = (\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2), \overrightarrow{H} \in \mathcal{A}^4$$

$$\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}) = -(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G}) \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) - \overrightarrow{\nabla}(\overrightarrow{G} \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)), \overrightarrow{G} \in \mathcal{A}^6$$

$$\partial_3(\overrightarrow{K}) = Div(\overrightarrow{K}) \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times (\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2))$$

$$\partial_4(U) = -\overrightarrow{\nabla} U \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$$

Proof. Let us give a proof of the last formula and let $U dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$ be an element of $\Omega^4(\mathcal{A})$. We have $\partial_4(U dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4) = \ (I) \ + \ (II)$, where :

$$(I) = \{U, x_1\} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4 + \{U, x_2\} dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + \{U, x_3\} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4 + \{U, x_4\} dx_2 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (II) = & -U d\{x_1, x_2\} \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4 + U d\{x_1, x_3\} \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4 6 - d\{x_1, x_4\} \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \\ & - U d\{x_2, x_3\} \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + U d\{x_2, x_4\} \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3 - U d\{x_3, x_4\} \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

This second term is exactly $(II) = -U d(dP_1 \wedge dP_2) = 0$. Then the boundary $\partial_4(U dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4)$ is equal to the first term (I).
But using our identification, we have $\partial_4(U) = (K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4)^t$, where $K_i = \{U, x_i\}$.
We can see, by a simply computation, that

$$K_1 = \{U, x_1\} := \frac{dU \wedge dx_1 \wedge dP_1 \wedge dP_2}{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4}$$

is equal to

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_4}(\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_3}) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_2}(\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_4}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_3}) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_3}(\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_4}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_2})$$

which is exactly the first coordinate of $-\overrightarrow{\nabla} U \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$. □

The Poisson homology takes the following form :

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0(\mathcal{A},\pi) &= \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\{(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H})\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)|\overrightarrow{H}\in\mathcal{A}^4\}} \\
H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi) &= \frac{\{\overrightarrow{H}\in\mathcal{A}^4|(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H})\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)=0\}}{\{-(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\overrightarrow{G})\bar{\times}f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)-\overrightarrow{\nabla}(\overrightarrow{G}\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\}} \\
H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi) &= \frac{\{\overrightarrow{G}\in\mathcal{A}^6|(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\overrightarrow{G})\bar{\times}f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)+\overrightarrow{\nabla}(\overrightarrow{G}\cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2))=0\}}{\{Div(\overrightarrow{K})f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)+\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times[\overrightarrow{K}\bar{\times}f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)]\}} \\
H_3(\mathcal{A},\pi) &= \frac{\{\overrightarrow{K}\in\mathcal{A}^4|Div(\overrightarrow{K})f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)+\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times[\overrightarrow{K}\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)]=0\}}{\{-\overrightarrow{\nabla}U\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\}} \\
H_4(\mathcal{A},\pi) &= \{U\in\mathcal{A}|\overrightarrow{\nabla}U\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)=0\}
\end{aligned}$$

2 Homological tools

In this part, we introduce the homological tools that we will need to find the Poisson homology of our Poisson structure. Here, $\mathcal{A}$ is the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$.

2.1 Weight homogeneous skew-symmetric multi-derivations

The Schouten bracket is a family of maps

$$[\cdot,\cdot]_S : \mathcal{X}^p(\mathcal{A})\times\mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{p+q-1}(\mathcal{A}),$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
[P,Q]_S(F_1,...,F_{p+q-1}) = &\sum_{\sigma\in S_{q,p-1}} \epsilon(\sigma)P(Q(F_{\sigma(1)},...,F_{\sigma(q)}),F_{\sigma(q+1)},...,F_{\sigma(q+p-1)}) \\
&-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,q-1}} \epsilon(\sigma)Q(P(F_{\sigma(1)},...,F_{\sigma(p)}),F_{\sigma(p+1)},...,F_{\sigma(p+q-1)})
\end{aligned}$$

for $P\in\mathcal{X}^p(\mathcal{A})$, $Q\in\mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{A})$, and for $F_1,...,F_{p+q-1}\in\mathcal{A}$ for $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$.
By convention, $S_{p,-1}:=\emptyset$ and $S_{-1,q}:=\emptyset$, for $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{V}\in\mathcal{X}^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $Q\in\mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{A})$. Then the Lie derivative of Q with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}Q := [\mathcal{V},Q]_S$*

Definition 2.2. *A non-zero multi-derivation $P\in\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be weight homogeneous of degree $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, if there exists positive integers $\varpi_1,\varpi_2,\varpi_3,\varpi_4\in\mathbb{N}^\star$, the weights of the variables x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4, without a common divisor, such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{e}_\varpi}(P) = rP$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{e}_\varpi}$ is a Lie derivative with respect to the Euler derivation

$$\vec{e}_\varpi := \varpi_1 x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}+...+\varpi_4 x_4\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}$$

The degree of a weight homogeneous multi-derivation $P\in\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is also denoted by $\varpi(P)\in\mathbb{Z}$
By convention, the zero k-derivation is weight homogeneous of degree $-\infty$.
The Euler derivation $\vec{e}_\varpi$ is identified (with the isomorphisms of the first section) to the element $\vec{e}_\varpi = (\varpi_1 x_1, ..., \varpi_4 x_4)\in\mathcal{A}^4$. We denote by $|\varpi|$ the sum of the weights $\varpi_1+\varpi_2+\varpi_3+\varpi_4$, so that $|\varpi| = Div(\vec{e}_\varpi)$.

Euler's formula, for a weight homogeneous $F \in \mathcal{A}$, can be written as $\overrightarrow{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{e}_{\varpi} = \varpi(F)F$, and yields, using (15) of proposition 2.1 : $Div(F\vec{e}_{\varpi}) = (\varpi(F) + |\varpi|)F$.

The operator $\star$ allows us to transport the notion of weight homogeneity of skew-symmetric multi-derivations to Kähler p-differential forms.
Fixing weights $\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \varpi_3, \varpi_4 \in \mathbb{N}^\star$, it is clear that $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i$, where $\mathcal{A}_0 = K$ and for $i \in \mathbb{N}^\star$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the K-vector space generated by all weight homogeneous polynomials of degree i. Denoting by $\Omega^k(\mathcal{A})_i$ the K-vector space given by $\Omega^k(\mathcal{A})_i = \{P \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{A}) : \varpi(P) = i\} \cup \{0\}$,we have the following isomorphisms :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega^4(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_i \\
&\Omega^3(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{X}^1(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_2} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_3} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_4} \\
&\Omega^2(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{X}^2(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_1+\varpi_4} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_1+\varpi_2} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_3+\varpi_2} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_3+\varpi_4} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_3+\varpi_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_2+\varpi_4} \\
&\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{X}^3(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_2+\varpi_3+\varpi_4} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_3+\varpi_2+\varpi_4} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_1+\varpi_2+\varpi_4} \times \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_2+\varpi_1+\varpi_3} \\
&\;\Omega^0(\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{X}^4\mathcal{A})_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_{i+\varpi_1+\varpi_2+\varpi_3+\varpi_4}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. *Each arrow of the complex given by (4) is a weight homogeneous map of degree zero, while each arrow of the complex given by (5) is a weight homogeneous map of degree $\varpi(P_1)+\varpi(P_2)$, if P_1 and P_2 are weight homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{A}$.*

2.2 The Koszul complex-complete intersection with an isolated singularity

Definition 2.3. *A weight homogeneous element $P \in \mathcal{A} = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ has an isolated singularity if*

$$\mathcal{A}_{sing}(P) := K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] / < \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_4} > \tag{6}$$

has a finite dimension as a K-vector space.

The dimension of $\mathcal{A}_{sing}(P)$ is called the Milnor number of the singular point.
We shall now give a definition of dimension for rings. For this purpose, note that the length of the chain $P_r \supset P_{r-1} \supset \cdots \supset P_0$ involving $r+1$ distinct ideals of a given ring is taken to be r.

Definition 2.4. *The Krull dimension of a ring $\mathcal{R}$ is the supremum of the lengths of chains of distinct prime ideals in $\mathcal{R}$.*

Definition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an associative and commutative graded K-algebra. A system of homogeneous elements $a_1, ..., a_d$ in $\mathcal{R}$, where d is the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{R}$, is called a homogeneous system of parameters of $\mathcal{R}$ (h.s.o.p.) if $\mathcal{R}/ < a_1, ..., a_d >$ is a finite dimensional K-vector space.*

For example, if we consider the K-algebra $\mathcal{A} = K[x_1, ..., x_4]$, graded by the weight degree, we have a natural h.s.o.p. given by the system x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4.

Definition 2.6. *A sequence $a_1, ..., a_n$ in a commutative associative algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be an $\mathcal{R}$-regular sequence if $< a_1, ..., a_n > \neq \mathcal{R}$ and a_i is not a zero divisor of $\mathcal{R}/ < a_1, ..., a_{i-1} >$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.*

For any regular sequence $a_1, ..., a_n$, we can define a Koszul complex which is exact (see Weibel [30]) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^0(\mathcal{R}^n) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n-2}(\mathcal{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\wedge\omega} \bigwedge^{n-1}(\mathcal{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\wedge\omega} \bigwedge^n(\mathcal{R}^n)$$

where $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i$ and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ is a basis of an $\mathcal{R}$-module free $\mathcal{R}^n$.
In our particular case, $\mathcal{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$, using the identifications $\bigwedge^p(\mathcal{R}^n) \backsimeq \Omega^p(\mathcal{R})$, the Koszul complex associated to the sequence $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2}, \cdots, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n}$ $(P \in \mathcal{R})$ have the following form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\wedge dP} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{n-2}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\wedge dP} \Omega^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\wedge dP} \Omega^n(\mathcal{A})$$

Using the vector notation for $n = 4$, we have the following complex :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\nabla} P} \mathcal{A}^4 \xrightarrow{\times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P} \mathcal{A}^6 \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\nabla} P \bar{\times}} \mathcal{A}^4 \xrightarrow{\cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P} \mathcal{A}$$

Theorem 2.1. (Cohen-Macaulay). *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a noetherian graded K-algebra. If $\mathcal{R}$ has a h.s.o.p. which is a regular sequence, then any h.s.o.p. in $\mathcal{R}$ is a regular sequence.*

Thus, for each $P \in \mathcal{A} = K[x_1, ..., x_4]$ which is a weight homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity, the sequence $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_4}$ is regular, the associated Koszul complex is exact.

Definition 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a noetherian commutation ring with unit. The depth, $dpth(I)$, of an ideal I of $\mathcal{R}$ is the maximal length q of an $\mathcal{R}$-regular sequence $a_1, \cdots, a_q \in I$.*

Let M be a free $\mathcal{R}$-module of finite rank n, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a a noetherian commutative ring with unit. We denote by $\bigwedge^p(M)$ the *p-th* exterior product of M. By convention $\bigwedge^0(M) = \mathcal{R}$.
Let $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_k$ be given elements of M, and $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ be a basis of M.

$$\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots i_k \leq n} a_{i_1, \cdots, i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{R}$ generated by the coefficients $a_{i_1, \cdots, i_k}$, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots i_k \leq n$.
Then we define : $Z^p := \{\eta \in \bigwedge^p(M) : \eta \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_k = 0\}$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$
$H^p := Z^p \diagup \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1}(M)$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$
We have the following result from Kyoji Saito :

Theorem 2.2. ([22]) *$H^p = 0$ for $0 \leq p < dpth(\mathfrak{A})$.*

Let us give an example. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and consider P_1, P_2, two weight homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{A}$. We say that (P_1, P_2) defines a complete intersection if (P_1, P_2) is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{A}$. And (P_1, P_2) has an isolated singularity if $\mathcal{A}/\langle P_1, P_2, \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_i}, i < j = 1, 2, 3, 4\rangle$ is a finite dimensional K-vector space. This dimension is also called the Milnor number of singularity and denoted μ.
Let (P_1, P_2) be a complete intersection with an isolated singularity.
We denote by $\eta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial x_i} e_i$, $j = 1, 2$, where (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is a basis of a free $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A}^4$.

Then $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = \sum_{i<j=1}^{4} a_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j$, where $a_{ij} = \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial x_i}$.
Let $\mathfrak{A} = (a_{ij}, i < j = 1, \cdots, 4)$. From the book of E.J.N. LOOIJENGA ([16], pages 25 and 49), $dpth(\mathfrak{A}) = 3$.
Then for $\eta \in \bigwedge^p(\mathcal{A}^4)$, $p = 1, 2$, if $\eta \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = 0$, we have $\eta = \alpha_1 \wedge \eta_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \eta_2$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \bigwedge^{p-1}(\mathcal{A}^4)$.
Using the vector notation, we get the following results :

Lemma 2.1. *For $\overrightarrow{G} \in \mathcal{A}^6$, $(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{G}) = 0$ if and only if $\overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{H}_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{H}_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2$, where $\overrightarrow{H}_1, \overrightarrow{H}_2 \in \mathcal{A}^4$.*

Lemma 2.2. *For $\overrightarrow{H} \in \mathcal{A}^4$, $\overrightarrow{H} \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = 0$ if and only if $\overrightarrow{H} = U_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + U_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1$, where $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.*

3 Poisson homology and complete intersection with an isolated singularity

Let us consider the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A} = K[x_1, ..., x_4]$ where K is a field of characteristic 0 equipped with the Jacobian Poisson structure π given by two weight homogeneous polynomials P_1, P_2 which define a complete intersection with an isolated singularity.
First we compute the kernels $(ker\partial_i)_{i=1,2,3,4}$.

Proposition 3.1. *$ker\partial_4 \simeq K[P_1, P_2]$*

Proof. Let U be a weight homogeneous element of $\Omega^4(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\partial_4(U) = 0$. Then $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U \bar{\times} \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\right) = 0$. Using lemma 2.2, $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U = \alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}U = \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$. Since $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}U = 0$, we obtain $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) = 0$ and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_2\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) = 0$. Thus α_1, α_2 are elements of $\ker\partial_4$.
We can continue this procedure by defining elements $(U_i^{(n)})$ of $\ker\partial_4$ in such a way that $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U_i^{(n)} = U_{2i-1}^{(n+1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + U_{2i}^{(n+1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$. It is clear that $max(degU_{2i-1}^{(n+1)}, degU_{2i}^{(n+1)}) < degU_i^{(n)}$.
Therefore there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U_i^{(m)} = 0$, $\forall i = 1, ..., 2^m$. Hence $U_i^{(m)} = C_i^{(m)} \in K$. Since $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U_i^{(m-1)} = U_{2i-1}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + U_{2i}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 = C_{2i-1}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + C_{2i}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$, by the Poincaré lemma there exists $C_i^{(m-1)} \in K$ such that $U_i^{(m-1)} = C_{2i-1}^{(m)}P_1 + C_{2i}^{(m)}P_2 + C_i^{(m-1)}$.
We have $U_{2i+1}^{(m-1)} = C_{4i+1}^{(m)}P_1 + C_{4i+2}^{(m)}P_2 + C_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}$; $U_{2i+2}^{(m-1)} = C_{4i+3}^{(m)}P_1 + C_{4i+4}^{(m)}P_2 + C_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}$ and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}U_{i+1}^{(m-2)} = U_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + U_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$. By an easy computation $C_{4i+3}^{(m)}P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + C_{4i+2}^{(m)}P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 = \overrightarrow{\nabla}V$, $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Because $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times [C_{4i+3}^{(m)}P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + C_{4i+2}^{(m)}P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1] = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}V = 0$, we have $C_{4i+3}^{(m)} = C_{4i+2}^{(m)}$, and $U_{i+1}^{(m-2)} = \frac{1}{2}C_{4i+1}^{(m)}P_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}C_{4i+4}^{(m)}P_2^2 + C_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}P_1 + C_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}P_2 + C_{4i+2}^{(m)}P_1P_2 + C_{i+1}^{(m-2)}$. At the end of this procedure, we obtain the existence of a $g \in K[P_1, P_2]$ such that $U = g(P_1, P_2)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *$ker\partial_1 = \{\alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3 | \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{A}\}$*

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{H}(H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4)$ be a weight homogeneous element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^4$ such that $\partial_1(\overrightarrow{H}) = (\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) = 0$. According to lemma 2.1, there exists $\overrightarrow{H}', \overrightarrow{H}'' \in \mathcal{A}^4$ such that $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H} = \overrightarrow{H}' \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \overrightarrow{H}'' \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$.
We have $0 = \overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}\right) = \overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\left(\overrightarrow{H}' \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\right) + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\bar{\times}\left(\overrightarrow{H}'' \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\right)$
But using property (15) of proposition 1.1, we obtain $\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}') + \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}'') = 0$.
As a direct consequence, $\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 \cdot \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}')\right) = 0 = \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \cdot \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}'')\right)$. Therefore $\overrightarrow{H}'$ and $\overrightarrow{H}''$ are other elements of $ker\partial_1$.
When we apply this procedure to $\overrightarrow{H}'$ and $\overrightarrow{H}''$, we get four other of elements of $ker\partial_1$.
Continuing in this way yields the existence of elements $\left(\overrightarrow{H}_r^{(l)}\right)$ of $ker\partial_1$ such that $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}_i^{(n)} = \overrightarrow{H}_{2i-1}^{(n+1)} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \overrightarrow{H}_{2i}^{(n+1)} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$. We can notice that $max\left(deg\overrightarrow{H}_{2i-1}^{(n+1)}, deg\overrightarrow{H}_{2i}^{(n+1)}\right) < deg\overrightarrow{H}_i^{(n)}$.
Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}_i^{(m)} = 0$, $\forall i = 1, ..., 2^m$. So there exists $\varphi_i^{(m)} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\overrightarrow{H}_i^{(m)} = \overrightarrow{\nabla}\varphi_i^{(m)}$.
Since $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}_i^{(m-1)} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \left[\varphi_{2i-1}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \varphi_{2i}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\right]$, by the Poincaré lemma $\overrightarrow{H}_i^{(m-1)} = \varphi_{2i-1}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \varphi_{2i}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\varphi_i^{(m-1)}$, $\varphi_i^{(m-1)} \in \mathcal{A}$.
We have : $\overrightarrow{H}_{2i+1}^{(m-1)} = \varphi_{4i+1}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \varphi_{4i+2}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\varphi_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}$; $\overrightarrow{H}_{2i+2}^{(m-1)} = \varphi_{4i+3}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \varphi_{4i+4}^{(m)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\varphi_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}$; and $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{H}_{i+1}^{(m-2)} = \left(\varphi_{4i+3}^{(m)} - \varphi_{4i+2}^{(m)}\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \left[\varphi_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \varphi_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\right]$.
It is easy to see that $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{G} = \alpha\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$, where $\overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{H}_{i+1}^{(m-2)} - \varphi_{2i+1}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - \varphi_{2i+2}^{(m-1)}\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$

and $\alpha = \varphi^{(m)}_{4i+3} - \varphi^{(m)}_{4i+2}$.
Since $0 = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{G}) = \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$, α is an element of $ker\partial_4$. Therefore $\overrightarrow{H}^{(m-2)}_{i+1} = (\varphi^{(m-1)}_{2i+1} + \varphi)\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \varphi^{(m-1)}_{2i+2}\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\psi$.
At the end, we have : $\overrightarrow{H} = \alpha_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{A}$. □

Proposition 3.3. $\ker\partial_3 = \{\alpha\vec{e}_\varpi + \overrightarrow{\nabla} U \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) | \alpha \in K[P_1, P_2], U \in \mathcal{A}\}$, *if* $|\varpi| = 2\varpi(P_1) = 2\varpi(P_2)$.

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{K} \in ker\partial_3$, be a weight homogeneous element of $\Omega^3(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^4$.
Then $div(\overrightarrow{K})\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \left(\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)\right) = 0$. But using property (8) of proposition 1.1, we see that $\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times (\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2))\right) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = 0$, In other words $\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$ is an element of $ker\partial_1$. Therefore, $\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = \alpha_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore $Div(\overrightarrow{K})\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = 0$. So $\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_i \bar{\times} \left[Div(\overrightarrow{K})\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2\right] = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.
According to properties (9) and (7) of proposition 1.1, we obtain $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_i \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.
i.e., $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K[P_1, P_2]$.
Thus $Div(\overrightarrow{K})\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = 0$, and we obtain $Div(\overrightarrow{K}) = \frac{\partial\alpha_1}{\partial P_2} - \frac{\partial\alpha_2}{\partial P_1}$.
On the other hand, using property (10) of proposition 1.1, we observe that α_3 is an element of $K[P_1, P_2]$.
We obtain

$$\begin{cases} \overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = \beta_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \beta_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 & (a) \\ Div(\overrightarrow{K}) = \frac{\partial\beta_1}{\partial P_2} - \frac{\partial\beta_2}{\partial P_1} & (b) \end{cases}$$

Here $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \frac{\partial\alpha_3}{\partial P_1}$, and $\beta_2 = \alpha_2 + \frac{\partial\alpha_3}{\partial P_2}$.
$(a) \Rightarrow \left(\overrightarrow{K} \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)\right) \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_i = \left(\beta_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \beta_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2\right) \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_i$, $i = 1, 2$.
By property (12) of proposition 1.1, we have $\beta_j = (-1)^i \overrightarrow{K} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_i$, $i \neq j = 1, 2$.
According to the degree equation $\beta_1 \in P_2 K[P_1, P_2]$, and $\beta_2 \in P_1 K[P_1, P_2]$.
Let us suppose that $\beta_1 = cP_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2+1}$.
Then $\vec{e}_\varpi \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = \varpi(P_2)P_2 \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{K} = \frac{c}{\varpi(P_2)} P_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2} \vec{e}_\varpi + \overrightarrow{G}$, where $\overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{X}$, $\overrightarrow{X} \in \mathcal{A}^6$.
$\overrightarrow{K} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 = -\beta_2 \Rightarrow \beta_2 + cP_1^{r_1+1} P_2^{r_2} = -(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{X}) \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1$, $\forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
According to the degree equation, $\beta_2 = -cP_1^{r_1+1} P_2^{r_2}$.
Then

$$\begin{cases} \overrightarrow{K} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = cP_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2+1} \\ \overrightarrow{K} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 = cP_1^{r_1+1} P_2^{r_2} \\ Div(\overrightarrow{K}) = c(2 + r_1 + r_2) P_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2} \end{cases}$$

$$\overrightarrow{K} = \frac{c}{\varpi(P_2)} P_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2} \vec{e}_\varpi + \overrightarrow{G} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \overrightarrow{G} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = 0 \\ \overrightarrow{G} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 = 0 \\ Div(\overrightarrow{G}) = c\left(2 - \frac{|\varpi|}{\varpi(P_1)}\right) P_1^{r_1} P_2^{r_2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $\overrightarrow{G} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{X}_1$, $\overrightarrow{X}_1 \in \mathcal{A}^6$.

Using lemma 2.1, $\overrightarrow{X}_1 = \overrightarrow{F}_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{F}_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2$, $\overrightarrow{F}_1, \overrightarrow{F}_2 \in \mathcal{A}^4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{G} &= \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \bar{\times} \left(\overrightarrow{F}_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{F}_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \right) \\ &= \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \bar{\times} \left(\overrightarrow{F}_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \right) \\ &= \overrightarrow{F} \bar{\times} \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \right), \text{where} \overrightarrow{F} = -\overrightarrow{F}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Div(\overrightarrow{G}) = 0$, we have $\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \right) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{F}) = 0$. Therefore $\overrightarrow{F} = \alpha_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_3$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_3 \bar{\times} \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 \right)$. ☐

Proposition 3.4. $ker\partial_2 = \{U \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 | U, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}\}$

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{G} \in ker\partial_2$, be a weight homogeneous element of $\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^6$.
We have $\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} \right) \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \left(\overrightarrow{G} \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) \right) = 0$.
Then $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \left[\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} \right) \bar{\times} f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) \right] + Div(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G}) \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 = 0$.
Therefore, $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} = \alpha \vec{e}_{\varpi} + \overrightarrow{\nabla} U \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$, $\alpha \in K[P_1, P_2]$, $U \in \mathcal{A}$.
$0 = Div(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G}) = (\varpi(\alpha) + |\varpi|)\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$.
Thus $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \bar{\times} \overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} U \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$, i.e., $\overrightarrow{G} = U \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{X}$, $\overrightarrow{X} \in \mathcal{A}^4$.
But using properties (10) and (8) of proposition 1.1, we obtain $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \left((\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{X}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) \right) = 0$, and $(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{X}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) \in K$.
For degree reasons, $(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{X}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2) = 0$.
Then from proposition 4.1, $\overrightarrow{X} = \alpha_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_3$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{A}$. ☐

Proposition 3.5. *The following complexes are exact and the arrows are maps of degree zero :*

$$0 \longrightarrow K[P_1, P_2] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_1)) \oplus \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_2)) \oplus \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\beta} ker\partial_1 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7}$$

$\alpha(u(P_1, P_2)) = (-\frac{\partial u}{\partial P_1}, -\frac{\partial u}{\partial P_2}, u)$;
$\beta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \alpha_1 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \alpha_3$.

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \longrightarrow K[P_1, P_2](-\varpi(P_1)) \oplus K[P_1, P_2](-\varpi(P_2)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_1) - \varpi(P_2)) \oplus \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_1)) \oplus \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_2)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} ker\partial_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

$\gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial P_2} - \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial P_1}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$;
$\epsilon(U, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = U \nabla P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2 + \nabla \alpha_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 + \nabla \alpha_2 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2$.

$$0 \longrightarrow K[P_1, P_2](-\varpi(P_1) - \varpi(P_2)) \xrightarrow{\theta} K[P_1, P_2](-|\varpi|) \oplus \mathcal{A}(-\varpi(P_1) - \varpi(P_2)) \xrightarrow{\sigma} ker\partial_3 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{9}$$

$\theta(V(P_1, P_2) = (0, V)$;
$\sigma(U, V) = U \vec{e}_{\varpi} + \overrightarrow{\nabla} V \bar{\times} (\overrightarrow{\nabla} P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla} P_2)$, *if* $|\varpi| = 2\varpi(P_1) = 2\varpi(P_2)$,

Proof. Let us give the proof for the first sequence :
If $\alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3 = 0$, then $(\alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3)\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) = 0$.
Therefore, $\overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) = 0$ and $\alpha_3 \in K[P_1, P_2]$.
Because 0= $(\alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3) \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\alpha_i = -\frac{\partial\alpha_3}{\partial P_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$.
We can conclude that the first complex is exact. The proof of the $2nd$ and $3rd$ complexes is similar. □

We also have the following trivial exact sequence of complexes :

$$0 \longrightarrow ker\partial_{i+1} \longrightarrow \Omega^{i+1}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow ker\partial_i \longrightarrow H_i(\mathcal{A}, \pi) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{10}$$

where $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and the arrows are maps of degree zero.

Remark 3.1. *By using the exactness of the complexes (7), (8), (9), (10), we obtain the Poincaré's series of the Poisson homology groups. We can obviously notice that these series do not depend on the polynomials P_1 and P_2, but on the weights and degrees of P_1 and P_2.*

We are explicitly going to calculate these Poincaré's series in the quadratic and homogeneous case.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\varpi_1 = ... = \varpi_4 = 1$ and $\varpi(P_1) = \varpi(P_2) = 2$, then as K-vector spaces, $H_i(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}), \pi), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, have the following Poincaré series :*

$$\begin{aligned}
P(H_0(\mathcal{A}, \pi), t) &= \frac{2t^2+4t+1}{(1-t^2)^2};\\
P(H_1(\mathcal{A}, \pi), t) &= \frac{t^4+4t^3+4t^2+4t}{(1-t^2)^2};\\
P(H_2(\mathcal{A}, \pi), t) &= \frac{2t^4+4t^3}{(1-t^2)^2};\\
P(H_3(\mathcal{A}, \pi), t) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^2};\\
P(H_4(\mathcal{A}, \pi), t) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$, and $\rho = \varpi_1 x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4 + \varpi_2 x_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + \varpi_3 x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4 + \varpi_4 x_4 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$.

Theorem 3.2. *$H_4(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ is a rank 1 free $K[P_1, P_2]$-module generated by δ.*

Theorem 3.3. *If $|\varpi| = 2\varpi(P_1)$, then
$H_3(\mathcal{A}, \pi)$ is a rank 1 free $K[P_1, P_2]$-module generated by ρ.*

Proof. We have proved that, if $|\varpi| = 2\varpi(P_1)$, then $ker\partial_3 = Im\partial_4 + K[P_1, P_2]\vec{e}_\varpi$.
Now, let $\alpha \in K[P_1, P_2]$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha\vec{e}_\varpi = \overrightarrow{\nabla}U\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)$. We can suppose that α is a weight homogeneous polynomial.
We have $0 = Div(\overrightarrow{\nabla}U\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)) = Div(\alpha\vec{e}_\varpi) = (\varpi(\alpha) + |\varpi|)\alpha$.
Therefore $\alpha = 0$. □

Now we suppose that $P_1 = Q_1 + Q_2$, $P_2 = Q_2$ where : Q_1 and Q_2 are given by (1) and (2). $\varpi_1 = ... = \varpi_4 = 1$; $J_i \neq J_j$ if $i \neq j$; for all $i = 1, 2, 3,\ J_i \neq -1, 0, 1$.

Theorem 3.4. *[2] The homological group $H_0(\mathcal{A},\pi)$ is a rank 7 free $K[P_1,P_2]$-module generated by $(\mu_i)_{0\leq i\leq 6} = (1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1^2,x_3^2)$.*

Remark 3.2. *We can remark that $H_0(\mathcal{A},\pi) \simeq K[P_1,P_2]\otimes \mathcal{A}_{sing}(P_1,P_2)$.*
Here $\mathcal{A}_{sing}(P_1,P_2) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$, where J is the ideal generated by P_1, P_2 and the 2×2 minors of the Jacobian matrix of (P_1,P_2).

Theorem 3.5. *The homological group $H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi)$ is a free $K[P_1,P_2]$ module given by :*

$$H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi) \simeq (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{6} K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k) \oplus (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{5} K[P_1,P_2]\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1) \oplus K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \oplus K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$$

where, $(\mu_i)_{0\leq i\leq 6} = (1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1^2,x_3^2)$.

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{H} \in ker\partial_1$.
Then $\overrightarrow{H} = \alpha_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \alpha_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3$, $\alpha_i\mathcal{A}$.
Using the previous result :

$$\alpha_l = f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{N_l}\sum_{j=0}^{M_l}\sum_{k=0}^{6}\lambda^l_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k$$

For $l=1,2$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_l\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l &= f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l + \sum_{i=0}^{N_l}\sum_{j=0}^{M_l}\sum_{k=0}^{6}\lambda^l_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l \\
&= \partial_2\left((-1)^{l+1}\overrightarrow{H}_l\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{N_l}\sum_{j=0}^{M_l}\sum_{k=0}^{6}\lambda^l_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l \\
\overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_3 &= \overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{6}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_3}\sum_{j=0}^{M_3}\lambda^l_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{N_3}\sum_{j=1}^{M_3}\sum_{k=0}^{6} j\lambda^3_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^{j-1}\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_3}\sum_{j=0}^{M_3}\sum_{k=0}^{6} i\lambda^3_{i,j,k}P_1^{i-1}P_2^{j}\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 \\
&= \partial_2(-\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_3) + \sum_{k=1}^{6}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_3}\sum_{j=0}^{M_3}\lambda^l_{i,j,k}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{6}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_3}\sum_{j=0}^{M_3} i\lambda^3_{i,j,k}P_1^{i-1}P_2^{j}\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{N_3}\sum_{j=1}^{M_3} j\lambda^3_{i,j,k}P_1^{i}P_2^{j-1}\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore : $ker\partial_1 = Im\partial_2 + I_1$, where :

$$I_1 = \left[(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k) + (\bigoplus_{k=0}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1) + (\bigoplus_{k=0}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\right]$$

Then $H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi) = \frac{I_1}{I_1\cap Im\partial_2}$.
Let $\overrightarrow{G}_i = f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i\times\vec{e}_\varpi)$, $\overrightarrow{G}'_i = f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i^2\times\vec{e}_\varpi)$, for $i=1,2,3,4$.
We have $\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}_i) = -J_ix_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + (J_i+1)x_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + 2\left(J_iP_1 - (J_i+1)P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i$, for $i=1,2,3$ and $\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}_4) = -x_4\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + x_4\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + 2\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4$.

Therefore $x_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$ can be written as a $K[P_1,P_2]$-linear sum of $x_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1$ and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i$.
On the other hand,
$\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}'_i) = 3J_ix_i^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - (J_i+1)x_i^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + 4(P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) + 4\left(J_iP_1-(J_i+1)P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i^2$, for $i=1,2,3$ and $\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}'_4) = 3x_4^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - x_4^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + 4(P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) + 4\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4^2$.
But using the Casimir relations, $x_4^2 = -2J_2P_1 + (J_2+1)P_2 + J_{21}x_1^2 + J_{23}x_4^2$, where $Jij = J_i - J_j$, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_2(\overrightarrow{G}'_4) - \partial_2(\frac{J_{21}}{J_1+1}\overrightarrow{G}'_1) - \partial_2(\frac{J_{23}}{J_3+1}\overrightarrow{G}'_3) = {} & \frac{3J_{21}}{J_1+1}x_1^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \frac{3J_{23}}{J_3+1}x_3^2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - 6J_2P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \\ & + 6(J_2+1)P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + 6J_2P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 - 6(J_2+1)P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 - \frac{4J_{21}}{J_1+1}(P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) + \\ & - \frac{4J_{21}}{J_1+1}(J_1-(J_1+1)P_2)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_3^2 - \frac{4J_{23}}{J_3+1}(P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) - \frac{4J_{23}}{J_3+1}(J_3-(J_3+1)P_2)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_3^2 + \\ & + 4(P_2\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 - P_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) - 8J_2\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + 8(J_2+1)\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \\ & + 4J_{21}\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_1^2 + 4J_{23}\left(P_1-P_2\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_3^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $ker\partial_1 = Im\partial_2 + I'_1$, where

$$I'_1 = (\sum_{k=1}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k) + (\sum_{k=1}^{5}K[P_1,P_2]\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1) + K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2.$$

Then $H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi) = \frac{I'_1}{I'_1\cap Im\partial_2}$.
But $H_1(\mathcal{A},\pi)$ and I'_1 have the same Poincaré series. Thus $P(I'_1\cap Im\partial_2, t) = 0$ and $I'_2\cap Im\partial_2 = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *The homological group $H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi)$ is a free $K[P_1,P_2]$ module given by :*

$$H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{5}K[P_1,P_2](\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1)\right) \oplus K[P_1,P_2](\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1).$$

where, $(\mu_i)_{1\leq i\leq 6} = (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1^2,x_3^2)$.

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{G}\in ker\partial_2$.
$\overrightarrow{G} = \alpha_0\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$, $\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2\in\mathcal{A}$.
We have

$$\alpha_l = f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{N_l}\sum_{j=0}^{M_l}\sum_{k=0}^{6}\lambda_{i,j,k}^{l}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k$$

But :
$\left(f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_0)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\right)\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 = \partial_3(\overrightarrow{H}_0\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2))$;
$\partial_3(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\bar{\times}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)) = -\overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(f(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{H}_l)\cdot(\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2)\right)\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_l$, for $l=1,2$.
On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(\lambda_{i,j,k}^{l}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\vec{e}_\varpi) = {} & \varpi(\mu_k)\lambda_{i,j,k}^{l}P_1^iP_2^j\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \varpi(P_2)\lambda_{i,j,k}^{l}P_1^iP_2^{j+1}\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + \\ & - \varpi(P_1)\lambda_{i,j,k}^{l}P_1^{i+1}P_2^j\mu_k\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $ker\partial_2 = Im\partial_3 + I_2$, where

$$I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2 + K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2.$$

Then $H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi)=\frac{I_2}{I_2\cap Im\partial_3}$.
Let $\overrightarrow{K}_i=(K_{i1},K_{i2},K_{i3},K_{i4})^t$, where $K_{ij}=\delta_{ij}x_i$, and $\overrightarrow{K}'_i=(K'_{i1},K'_{i2},K'_{i3},K'_{i4})^t$, where $K'_{ij}=\delta_{ij}$.
We have $\partial_3(\overrightarrow{K}'_i)=J_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1-(J_i+1)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$.
Then for $i=1,2,3$, $\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$ is equal to $\frac{J_i}{J_i+1}\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1$ modulo $Im\partial_3$.
We also have $\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2=\partial(-\overrightarrow{K}'_4)+\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1$.
On the other hand, $\partial_3(\overrightarrow{K}_i)=\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2+J_i\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1-(J_i+1)\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_i^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$, for $i=1,2,3$, and $\partial_3(\overrightarrow{K}_4)=\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2+\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1-\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_4^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$.
But using the Casimir relations, $x_4^2=-2J_2P_1+(J_2+1)P_2+J_{21}x_1^2+J_{23}x_4^2$, where $Jij=J_i-J_j$, we obtain :

$$\partial_3(\overrightarrow{K}_4)=\partial_3(\frac{J_{21}}{J_1+1}\overrightarrow{K}_1+\frac{J_{23}}{J_3+1}\overrightarrow{K}_3)-(1+\frac{J_{21}}{J_1+1}+\frac{J_{23}}{J_3+1})\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2+$$
$$+\frac{J_{21}}{J_1+1}\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_1^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1+\frac{J_{23}}{J_3+1}\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_3^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1.$$

Then we can explain $\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_3^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1$ as a K-linear sum of $\overrightarrow{\nabla}x_1^2\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1$ and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_2$ modulo $Im\partial_3$.
Therefore $ker\partial_2=Im\partial_3+I'_2$, where

$$I'_2=\sum_{k=1}^{6}K[P_1,P_2]\overrightarrow{\nabla}\mu_k\times\overrightarrow{\nabla}P_1.$$

Then $H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi)=\frac{I'_2}{I'_2\cap Im\partial_3}$.
But $H_2(\mathcal{A},\pi)$ and I'_2 have the same Poincaré series. Thus $P(I'_2\cap Im\partial_3,t)=0$ and $I'_2\cap Im\partial_3=0$. $\square$

References

[1] Artin, Michael; Schelter, William F. Graded algebras of global dimension 3. Adv. in Math. 66 (1987), no. 2, 171–216.

[2] Boos, H.; Jimbo, M.; Miwa, T.; Smirnov, F.; Takeyama, Y. Traces on the Sklyanin algebra and correlation functions of the eight-vertex model. J. Phys. A 38 (2005), no. 35, 7629–7659.

[3] Briançon, Joël; Maynadier-Gervais, Hélène Sur le nombre de Milnor d'une singularité semi-quasi-homogène. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334 (2002), no. 4, 317–320.

[4] Brylinski, Jean-Luc A differential complex for Poisson manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), no. 1, 93–114.

[5] Drinfel'd, V. G. Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 268 (1983), no. 2, 285–287.

[6] Dufour, Jean-Paul; Zung, Nguyen Tien Poisson structures and their normal forms. Progress in Mathematics, 242. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[7] Eisenbud, David Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[8] Fresse, Benoit Théorie des opérades de Koszul et homologie des algèbres de Poisson. Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal 13 (2006), no. 2, 237–312.

[9] Ginzburg, Viktor L. Grothendieck groups of Poisson vector bundles. J. Symplectic Geom. 1 (2001), no. 1, 121–169.

[10] Ginzburg, Viktor L.; Lu, Jiang-Hua Poisson cohomology of Morita-equivalent Poisson manifolds. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1992, no. 10, 199–205.

[11] Ginzburg, Viktor L.; Weinstein, Alan Lie-Poisson structure on some Poisson Lie groups. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), no. 2, 445–453.

[12] Khimshiashvili, G. On one class of exact Poisson structures. Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 119 (1999), 111–120.

[13] Khimshiashvili, G. On one class of affine Poisson structures. Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci. 161 (2000), no. 3, 395–397.

[14] Khimshiashvili, G.; Przybysz, R. On generalized Sklyanin algebras. Georgian Math. J. 7 (2000), no. 4, 689–700.

[15] Lichnerowicz, André Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées. (French) J. Differential Geometry 12 (1977), no. 2, 253–300.

[16] Looijenga, E. J. N. Isolated singular points on complete intersections. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[17] Marconnet, Nicolas. Homologies of cubic Artin-Schelter regular algebras. J. Algebra 278 (2004), no. 2, 638–665.

[18] Monnier, Philippe Poisson cohomology in dimension two. Israel J. Math. 129 (2002), 189–207.

[19] Odesskiĭ, A. V.; Feĭgin, B. L. Sklyanin's elliptic algebras. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 23 (1989), no. 3, 45–54, 96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 23 (1989), no. 3, 207–214.

[20] Odesskiĭ, A. V.; Rubtsov, V. N. Polynomial Poisson algebras with a regular structure of symplectic leaves. (Russian) Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 133 (2002), no. 1, 3–23.

[21] Pichereau, Anne. Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities. J. Algebra 299 (2006), no. 2, 747–777.

[22] Saito, Kyoji. On a generalization of de-Rham lemma. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 26 (1976), no. 2, vii, 165–170.

[23] Sklyanin, E. K. Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang-Baxter equation. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 16 (1982), no. 4, 27–34, 96.

[24] Sklyanin, E. K. Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang-Baxter equation. Representations of a quantum algebra. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 17 (1983), no. 4, 34–48.

[25] Smith, S. P.; Stafford, J. T. Regularity of the four-dimensional Sklyanin algebra. Compositio Math. 83 (1992), no. 3, 259–289.

[26] Staniszkis, Joanna M. The 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebra. J. Algebra 167 (1994), no. 1, 104–115.

[27] Tagne Pelap, Serge Roméo. On the Hochshild homology of elliptic Sklyanin algebra. Preprint LAREMA, in progress (2008).

[28] Van den Bergh, Michel Noncommutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces. Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992). *K*-Theory 8 (1994), no. 3, 213–230.

[29] Vanhaecke, Pol Integrable systems in the realm of algebraic geometry. Second edition. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1638. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[30] Weibel, Charles A. An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38.

[31] Xu, Ping Poisson cohomology of regular Poisson manifolds. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 42 (1992), no. 4, 967–988.

[32] Xu, Ping Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry. Comm. Math. Phys. 200 (1999), no. 3, 545–560.

Laboratoire Angevin de Recherche en Mathématiques Université D'Angers Département de Mathématiques
E-mail address : `pelap@math.univ-angers.fr`